

### REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 14 and 15 are presently active in this case. Claims 1 and 14 have been amended and Claim 13 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer by way of the present Amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 9, 12, 14 and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over “Partitioning of MPEG Coded Video Bitstreams for Wireless Transmission” to Gharavi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,430 to Adolph et al. and “Adaptive Use of Error-Correction Codes for Real-time Communication in Wireless Networks” to Elaoud et al.; Claim 3 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Partitioning of MPEG Coded Video Bitstreams for Wireless Transmission” to Gharavi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,430 to Adolph et al. and “Adaptive Use of Error-Correction Codes for Real-time Communication in Wireless Networks” to Elaoud et al. in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,141,788 to Rosenberg et al.; and Claims 5 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Partitioning of MPEG Coded Video Bitstreams for Wireless Transmission” to Gharavi et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,430 to Adolph et al. and “Adaptive Use of Error-Correction Codes for Real-time Communication in Wireless Networks” to Elaoud et al. in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,658,242 to Knutson et al.

Claim 13 was indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. The subject matter of allowable Claim 13 has been incorporated into Claim 1, thereby rendering Claim 1 allowable. The Applicants submit that dependent Claims 3, 5, 7, 9, and 12 are allowable as being dependent on allowable Claim 1.

Furthermore, the subject matter of allowable Claim 13 has been incorporated into independent Claim 14. The Applicants submit that Claim 14 is allowable, since none of the references of record, either taken singularly or in combination, teach or suggest a method wherein an error correction scheme is selected by referring to a port number in a packet in combination with the other claim features. According, the Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of Claim 14 and Claim 15, which depends therefrom.

Consequently, in view of the above discussion, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for formal allowance and an early and favorable reconsideration of this application is therefore requested.


Respectfully Submitted,

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220  
(OSMMN 10/01)

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters  
Registration No. 28,870

Christopher D. Ward  
Registration No. 41,367